WEST HILLS COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

PROPOSITION 39 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS AUDIT REPORT

JUNE 30, 2013

WEST HILLS COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

PROPOSITION 39 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS FINANCIAL AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2013

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings

FINANCIAL SECTION	
Independent Auditors' Report	2
Capital Projects Fund 41 and Building Fund 42	
Balance Sheet	4
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances	5
Notes to Financial Statements	6
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of Long-Term Obligations	12
Reconciliation of Annual Financial Report With Audited Financial Statements	19
Note to Supplementary Information	20
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	22
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	
Financial Statement Findings	25

26

FINANCIAL SECTION

Vavrinek, Trine, Day & Co., LLP Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Governing Board and Citizens Oversight Committee West Hills Community College District Coalinga, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the West Hills Community College District's (the District), Capital Projects Fund 41 and the Building Fund 42, and the related notes to the financial statements, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors' judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of financial statements, whether due to error or fraud. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting principles used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Capital Projects Fund 41 and the Building Fund 42 of the West Hills Community College District at June 30, 2013, and the changes in financial position for the period then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Capital Projects Fund 41 and the Building Fund 42, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of West Hills Community College District in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

Variable, Trins, Day & Co, het

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 24, 2014, on our consideration of the District's Capital Projects Fund 41 and the Building Fund 42 internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's Capital Projects Fund 41 and the Building Fund 42's internal control over financial reporting and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Fresno, California January 24, 2014

BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2013

	Capital Projects Fund 41		Building Fund 42		
ASSETS					
Cash and cash equivalents-Cash in County Treasury	\$	3,212,922	\$	17,442,510	
Accounts receivable		9,560		47,259	
Due from other funds		4,970,199		28,076	
Total Assets	\$ 8,192,681		\$	17,517,845	
LIABILITIES AND FUND EQUITY LIABILITIES					
Accounts payable	\$	237,969	\$	-	
Due to other funds		-		428,506	
Total Liabilities		237,969		428,506	
FUND EQUITY					
Unassigned		7,954,712		17,089,339	
Total Fund Equity		7,954,712		17,089,339	
Total Liabilities and					
Fund Equity	\$	8,192,681	\$	17,517,845	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2013

	Capital Projects		Building
REVENUES	F	und 41	Fund 42
State revenues	\$	2,000	\$ -
Local revenues		56,809	236,376
Total Revenues		58,809	236,376
EXPENDITURES			_
Current Expenditures			
Classified salaries		5,092	-
Employee benefits		400	-
Books and supplies		10,855	-
Services and operating expenditures		66,087	(28,066)
Capital outlay		5,291,526	<u>-</u>
Total Expenditures		5,373,960	(28,066)
EXCESS OF REVENUES OVER			_
(UNDER) EXPENDITURES		(5,315,151)	264,442
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)			_
Operating transfers in		5,968,934	1,268,977
Operating transfers out		(1,267,008)	(5,512,908)
Other sources			2,232,000
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)		4,701,926	(2,011,931)
EXCESS OF REVENUES AND OTHER			
FINANCING SOURCES OVER (UNDER)			
EXPENDITURES AND OTHER USES		(613,225)	(1,747,489)
FUND BALANCE, BEGINNING OF YEAR		8,567,937	18,836,828
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	\$	7,954,712	\$ 17,089,339

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2013

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the West Hills Community College District's Capital Projects Fund 41 and the Building Fund 42 conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The West Hills Community College District's Capital Projects Fund 41 and the Building Fund 42 accounts for financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the California School Accounting Manual.

Financial Reporting Entity

The financial statements include only the Capital Projects Fund 41 and the Building Fund 42 of the West Hills Community College District used to account for Proposition 39 projects. These Fund's were established to account for the expenditures of general obligation bonds issued under the General Obligation Bonds Elections for the School Facilities Improvement Districts (SFID) 1 Northern area, SFID 2 Coalinga, and SFID 3 Lemoore. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the West Hills Community College District in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Funds are accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Resources are allocated to and accounted for in the funds based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Basis of Accounting

The Funds are accounted for using a flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances reports on the sources (revenues and other financing sources) and uses (expenditures and other financing uses) of current financial resources.

Interfund Balances

On fund financial statements, receivables and payables resulting from short-term interfund loans are classified as "interfund receivables/payables".

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2013

Interfund Activity

Exchange transactions between funds are reported as revenues in the seller funds and as expenditures/expenses in the purchaser funds. Flows of cash or goods from one fund to another without a requirement for repayment are reported as interfund transfers. Interfund transfers are reported as other financing sources/uses in governmental funds. Repayments from funds responsible for particular expenditures/expenses to the funds that initially paid for them are not presented in the financial statements.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for all governmental funds. The District's governing board adopts an operating budget no later than July 1 in accordance with State law. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's governing board satisfied these requirements. The Board revises this budget during the year to give consideration to unanticipated revenue and expenditures primarily resulting from events unknown at the time of budget adoption. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

Encumbrances

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid and all outstanding encumbrances are liquidated at June 30 since they do not constitute expenditures or liabilities.

Fund Balances - Governmental Funds

As of June 30, 2013, fund balances of the governmental funds are classified as follows:

Restricted – amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2013

NOTE 2 - INVESTMENTS

Policies and Practices

The District is authorized under California Government Code to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State: U.S. Treasury instrument; registered State warrants or treasury notes: securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreement; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security, and collateralized mortgage obligations.

Investment in County Treasury

The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (*Education Code* Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statement at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fairly value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2013

General Authorizations

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Remaining	Percentage	Investment
Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value is to changes in market interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by investing in the County Pool. The Districts Capital Projects Fund 41 and Building Fund 42 maintain investments of \$20,655,432 with the Fresno County Investment Pool. The fair value of this investment is approximately \$18,293,829.

	Amortized		
	Cost	Value	
Deposits with county treasurer	\$ 20,655,432	\$ 18,293,829	

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2013

NOTE 3 - ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable at June 30, 2013, consisted of the following:

	Capita	I Projects	Building		
	Fu	nd 41	Fund 42		
Interest	\$	9,560	\$	47,259	

NOTE 4 - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

Accounts payable at June 30, 2013, consisted of the following:

Vendor payables \$ 237,969

NOTE 5 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Litigation

The District is not currently a party to any legal proceedings related to either of the funds reported.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS JUNE 30, 2013

Bonded Debt

A summary of the bonded debt activity for the year is as follows:

	Bala Begir of Y	ning	A	dditions	Dec	ductions	 Balance End of Year	_	urrent ortion
General obligation bonds - 2008 (Northern)									
Current interest	\$ 2,74	45,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,745,000	\$	-
Capital appreciation	1,1.	31,914		84,278		70,000	1,146,192		80,000
Bond premium (25 year amortization)	32	21,512		-		14,614	306,898		14,614
General obligation bonds - 2008, B (Northern)									
Current interest	7,80	00,000		-		-	7,800,000		-
Capital appreciation	1:	58,426		12,342		-	170,768		-
Bond premium (25 year amortization)	29	90,971		-		11,639	279,332		11,639
General obligation bonds - 2008 (Coalinga)									
Current interest	2,38	30,000		-		-	2,380,000		-
Capital appreciation	5′	73,020		51,480		100,000	524,500	1	100,000
Bond premium (25 year amortization)	2	79,638		-		12,707	266,931		12,707
General obligation bonds - 2008, B (Coalinga)									
Current interest	6,59	95,000		-		-	6,595,000		-
Capital appreciation	2,02	20,287		148,567		-	2,168,854		-
Bond premium (25 year amortization)	30	55,470		-		14,619	350,851		14,619
General obligation bonds - 2008 (Lemoore)									
Current interest	4,9:	55,000		-		-	4,955,000		-
Capital appreciation	9.	31,307		99,155		125,000	905,462	1	135,000
Bond premium (25 year amortization)	38	39,541		-		17,715	371,826		17,715
General obligation bonds - 2008, B (Lemoore)									
Current interest	9,62	25,000		-		-	9,625,000		-
Capital appreciation	3,04	10,383		254,196		-	3,294,579		10,000
Bond premium (30 year amortization)	7	14,549		-		24,629	689,920		24,629
Total	\$ 44,3	17,018	\$	650,018	\$	390,923	\$ 44,576,113	\$ 4	120,923

SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS JUNE 30, 2013

The outstanding general obligation bonded debt is as follows:

Issue	Maturity	Interest	Original	O	Bonds Outstanding	A	Accreted/			O	Bonds Outstanding
Date	Date	Rate	Issue	Jı	ıly 1, 2011		Issued	R	edeemed	Ju	ne 30, 2012
Northern:											
10/28/08	08/01/33	3.41-4.950%	\$ 3,839,677	\$	3,876,914	\$	84,278	\$	70,000	\$	3,891,192
05/23/12	08/01/41	2.0-4.23%	7,957,059		7,958,426		12,342		-		7,970,768
Coalinga:											
02/24/09	08/01/32	2.62-5.08%	2,998,815		2,953,020		51,480		100,000		2,904,500
05/23/12	08/01/38	2.0-4.21	4,498,812		8,615,287		148,567		-		8,763,854
Lemoore:											
03/03/09	08/01/33	2.62-5.42%	5,999,837		5,886,307		99,155		125,000		5,860,462
02/17/11	08/01/41	2.56-7.40%	12,343,909		12,665,383		254,196		-		12,919,579
Te	otal			\$	41,955,337	\$	650,018	\$	295,000	\$	42,310,355

2008 Northern Series A, Current Interest General Obligation Bonds:

Fiscal Year	Principal	Maturity	Total
2014	\$ -	\$ 159,938	\$ 159,938
2015	-	159,938	159,938
2016	-	159,937	159,937
2017	-	159,937	159,937
2018	-	159,937	159,937
2019-2023	845,000	721,461	1,566,461
2024-2028	1,495,000	409,500	1,904,500
2029-2033	405,000	24,300	429,300
Subtotal	\$ 2,745,000	\$ 1,954,948	\$ 4,699,948

2008 Northern Series A, Capital Appreciation General Obligation Bonds:

Year Ending June 30,	Value at Maturity		Interest to Accrete
2014	\$ 80,000	\$ 80,000	\$ -
2015	85,000	76,364	8,636
2016	100,000	80,720	19,280
2017	105,000	76,146	28,854
2018	120,000	78,192	41,808
2030-2034	2,406,061	754,770	1,651,291
Total	\$ 2,896,061	\$ 1,146,192	\$ 1,749,869

SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS JUNE 30, 2013

2008 Northern, Series B, Current Interest General Obligation Bonds:

		Interest to			
Fiscal Year	Principal	Maturity	Total		
2014	\$ -	\$ 331,581	\$ 331,581		
2015	60,000	331,581	391,581		
2016	70,000	330,381	400,381		
2017	90,000	328,981	418,981		
2018	100,000	327,181	427,181		
2019-2023	420,000	1,599,805	2,019,805		
2024-2028	1,090,000	1,501,918	2,591,918		
2029-2033	1,635,000	1,277,251	2,912,251		
2034-2038	4,335,000	728,000	5,063,000		
Total	\$ 7,800,000	\$ 6,756,679	\$ 14,556,679		

2008 Northern, Series B, Capital Appreciation General Obligation Bonds:

Year Ending	Value at	Accreted	Interest to
June 30,	Maturity	Obligation	Accrete
2021-2022	\$ 305,000	\$ 170,768	\$ 134,232

2009 Coalinga Series A, Current Interest General Obligation Bonds:

		Interest to			
Fiscal Year	Principal	Maturity		Total	
2014	\$ -	\$	132,950	\$	132,950
2015	-		132,950		132,950
2016	-		132,950		132,950
2017	-		132,950		132,950
2018	-		132,950		132,950
2019-2023	305,000		642,125		947,125
2024-2028	695,000		498,912		1,193,912
2029-2033	1,100,000		241,200		1,341,200
2034	280,000		7,700		287,700
Subtotal	\$ 2,380,000	\$ 2	2,054,687	\$	4,434,687

SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS JUNE 30, 2013

2009 Coalinga Series A, Capital Appreciation General Obligation Bonds:

Year Ending June 30,		Value at Maturity					Interest to Accrete	
2014	\$	100,000	\$	100,000	\$	-		
2015		100,000		90,180		9,820		
2016		100,000		81,340		18,660		
2017		100,000		73,360		26,640		
2018		100,000		66,160		33,840		
2019-2020		200,000		113,460		86,540		
Total	\$	700,000	\$	524,500	\$	175,500		

2008 Coalinga, Series B, Current Interest General Obligation Bonds:

Accreted Interest to	
11001000 11101000 10	
Fiscal Year Obligation Maturity	Total
2014 \$ 5,000 \$ 278,748	\$ 283,748
2015 65,000 249,525	314,525
2016 105,000 248,225	353,225
2017 - 245,078	245,078
2018 - 245,075	245,075
2019-2023 - 1,225,375	1,225,375
2024-2028 1,135,000 1,164,625	2,299,625
2029-2033 1,945,000 908,789	2,853,789
2034-2038 2,145,000 626,600	2,771,600
2039-2042	1,242,800
Subtotal \$ 6,595,000 \$ 5,239,840	\$ 11,834,840

2008 Coalinga, Series B, Capital Appreciation General Obligation Bonds:

Year Ending	Value at	Accreted	Interest to	
June 30,	Maturity	Obligation	Accrete	
2017	\$ 140,000	\$ 98,700	\$ 41,300	
2018-2022	1,010,000	496,024	513,976	
2027	275,000	96,360	178,640	
Total	\$ 1,425,000	\$ 691,084	\$ 733,916	

SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS JUNE 30, 2013

2008 Coalinga, Series B, Convertible Capital Appreciation Term General Obligation Bonds:

Capital Appreciation Term Bonds:

Year Ending	Value at	Accreted	Interest to	
June 30,	Maturity	Obligation	Accrete	
2023	\$ 2,325,000	\$ 1,477,770	\$ 847,230	

Upon maturity of capital appreciation term bonds, current interest bond payment requirements:

Year Ending				
June 30,	Principal	Interest		Total
2033	\$ 515,000	\$ 118,575	\$	633,575
2034	865,000	92,310		957,310
2035	945,000	48,195		993,195
Total	\$ 2,325,000	\$ 259,080	\$	2,584,080

2009 Lemoore Series A, Current Interest General Obligation Bonds:

	Interest to			
Fiscal Year	Principal	Maturity	Total	
2014	\$ -	\$ 267,546	\$ 267,546	
2015	-	267,546	267,546	
2016	-	267,546	267,546	
2017	-	267,546	267,546	
2018	-	267,546	267,546	
2019-2023	450,000	1,328,056	1,778,056	
2024-2028	1,535,000	1,096,114	2,631,114	
2029-2033	2,375,000	586,225	2,961,225	
2034	595,000	33,469	628,469	
Subtotal	\$ 4,955,000	\$ 4,381,594	\$ 9,336,594	

SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS JUNE 30, 2013

2009 Lemoore Series A, Capital Appreciation General Obligation Bonds:

Value at	Accreted	Interest to	
Maturity	Obligation	Accrete	
\$ 135,000	\$ 135,000	\$ -	
145,000	129,137	15,863	
155,000	122,915	32,085	
165,000	116,523	48,477	
175,000	110,040	64,960	
585,000	291,847	293,153	
\$ 1,360,000	\$ 905,462	\$ 454,538	
	Maturity \$ 135,000 145,000 155,000 165,000 175,000 585,000	MaturityObligation\$ 135,000\$ 135,000145,000129,137155,000122,915165,000116,523175,000110,040585,000291,847	

2008 Lemoore, Series B, Current Interest General Obligation Bonds and Convertible Capital Appreciation Bonds:

	Principal/		
	Accreted	Interest to	
Fiscal Year	Obligation	Maturity	Total
2014	\$ -	\$ 603,600	\$ 603,600
2015	-	603,600	603,600
2016	-	603,600	603,600
2017	-	603,600	603,600
2018	-	603,600	603,600
2019-2023	-	3,018,000	3,018,000
2024-2028	1,065,000	3,439,300	4,504,300
2029-2033	738,304	5,457,046	6,195,350
2034-2038	2,260,872	7,930,898	10,191,770
2039-2042	8,262,984_	1,998,811	10,261,795
Subtotal	\$ 12,327,160	\$ 24,862,055	\$ 37,189,215

A portion of the obligation reflects the current accreted obligation on the Capital Appreciation Bonds that will, on August 1, 2026, convert to Current Interest Bonds which will fully mature on August 31, 2028.

SCHEDULE OF LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS JUNE 30, 2013

2008 Lemoore, Series B, Capital Appreciation General Obligation Bonds:

Year Ending June 30,	Value at Maturity		Accreted bligation		
2014	\$ 10,000	\$	10,000	\$	-
2015	40,000		35,600		4,400
2016	30,000		21,150		8,850
2017	65,000		40,781		24,219
2018	95,000		53,048		41,952
2019-2023	1,045,000		398,528		646,472
2024-2027	120,000		33,312		86,688
Total	\$ 1,405,000	\$	592,419	\$	812,581

RECONCILIATION OF ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT WITH AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2013

There were no adjustments made to the District's CCFS-311 which required reconciliation to the audited Capital Projects Fund 41 and the Building Fund 42 at June 30, 2013.

NOTE TO SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION JUNE 30, 2013

NOTE 1 - PURPOSE OF SCHEDULES

Schedule of Long-Term Obligations

This schedule provides a debt repayment schedule associated with the bond proceeds received through issuance of Proposition 39 obligations.

Reconciliation of CCFS-311 With the Audited Financial Statements

This schedule provides the information if necessary to reconcile the unaudited fund balance of the funds to the audited financial statements.

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT



Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Governing Board and Citizens Oversight Committee West Hills Community College District Coalinga, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the accompanying financial statements of the West Hills Community College District (the District) Capital Projects Fund 41 and the Building Fund 42, and the related notes of the financial statements as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and have issued our report thereon dated January 24, 2014.

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Capital Projects Fund 41 and the Building Fund 42, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of West Hills Community College District in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered West Hills Community College District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the West Hills Community College District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the West Hills Community College District's internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether West Hills Community College District's Capital Projects Fund 41 and the Building Fund 42 financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of This Report

Variable, Trine, Day & Co, het

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Fresno, California January 24, 2014 SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2013

None reported.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2013

None reported.

WEST HILLS COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

PROPOSITION 39 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2013

WEST HILLS COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT PROPOSITION 39 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

PERFORMANCE AUDIT TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2013

Independent Auditors' Report on Performance	1
Authority and Purpose for Issuance	2
Authority for the Audit	2
Objectives of the Audit	3
Scope of the Audit	3
Procedures Performed	3
Conclusion	3
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	4

Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON PERFORMANCE

Governing Board and Citizens Oversight Committee West Hills Community College District Coalinga, California

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of the West Hills Community College District (the District), Proposition 39 General Obligation Bond funds for the year ended June 30, 2013.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal control in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that the District expended Proposition 39 General Obligation Bond funds only for the specific projects approved by the voters, in accordance with Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

Variable, Trine, Day & Co, LET

Fresno, California January 24, 2014

JUNE 30, 2013

AUTHORITY AND PURPOSE FOR ISSUANCE

The Proposition 39 Bonds are issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California Education Code, and other applicable provisions of law. The Bonds are authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District acting as the governing board of the Improvement Districts.

The School Facilities Improvement District 1 (Northern area) received authorization at an election held on June 3, 2008, to issue bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$11,800,000 to finance specific construction and renovation projects approved by eligible voters within the District. The proposition required approval by at least 55 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District.

The School Facilities Improvement District 2 (Coalinga area) received authorization at an election held on November 4, 2008, to issue bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$11,600,000 to finance specific construction and renovation projects approved by eligible voters within the District. The proposition required approval by at least 55 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District.

The School Facilities Improvement District 3 (Lemoore area) received authorization at an election held on November 4, 2008, to issue bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$31,000,000 to finance specific construction and renovation projects approved by eligible voters within the District. The proposition required approval by at least 55 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District.

AUTHORITY FOR THE AUDIT

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities", upon approval by 55 percent of the electorate. In addition to reducing the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55 percent, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (AB 1908 and AB 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in Education Code sections 15278-15282:

- 1. Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.
- 2. The community college district must list the specific school facilities projects to be funded in the ballot measure, and must certify that the governing board has evaluated safety, class size reduction and information technology needs in developing the project list.
- 3. Requires the community college district to appoint a citizen's oversight committee.
- 4. Requires the community college district to conduct an annual independent financial audit and performance audit in accordance with the Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until all of the proceeds have been expended.

JUNE 30, 2013

5. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.

OBJECTIVES OF THE AUDIT

- 1. Determine whether expenditures charged to the Capital Projects Fund 41 and the Building Fund 42 have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of Proposition 39.
- 2. Determine whether salary transactions, charged to the funds, if any, were in support of Proposition 39 and not for District general administration or operations.

SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2013, were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, for the Capital Projects Fund 41 and Building Fund 42. Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and Proposition 39 as to the approved bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

- 1. We selected a sample of expenditures for the period starting July 1, 2012 and ending June 30, 2013, and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that such funds were properly expended on the specific projects listed in the ballot text.
- 2. Our sample included transactions totaling \$3,803,686 of the total expenditures of \$5,345,894.
- 3. We verified that funds from the Capital Projects Fund 41 and Building Fund 42 were expended for the construction, renovation, furnishing and equipping of District facilities constituting authorized bond projects.

CONCLUSION

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the West Hills Community College District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the Capital Projects Fund 41 and Building Fund 42 and that such expenditures were made for costs authorized by the voters of the District.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS JUNE 30, 2013

None reported.